Assessment of Experimental and Theoretical Skills:

Experimental and Theoretical Skill: Students will be able to formulate a scientific question or purpose, design, setup and implement an experiment, then analyze the data and draw results and conclusions.

The assessment of this outcome is done in several different ways. Here we are focusing on two. First we evaluate the writing and content of lab abstracts in our general physics labs as measured by a rubric given below:

	Accomplished	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement
Content Overall (includes next five rows)	□ articulate and concise Includes all of the following:	Missing or minor problems with one or two of the following areas:	 ☐ <u>Major</u> omissions/problems of categories ☐ <u>Does not articulate</u> the point of the experiment
Statement of purpose:	☐ In 1-2 sentences clearly explains what the experiment is about	Uverbose or imprecise	□ Inaccurate or omitted
Procedure:	in two or three sentences describes the experiment	Uverbose or incomplete	□ Inaccurate or omitted
Analysis:	states how the raw data was reduced	 too much detail or equations; incomplete or imprecise 	☐ Misses the point of the experiment or incorrect analysis
Results:	☐ States final product (numerical values) including uncertainty	☐ Main results present but not clearly stated	Main results missing or incorrect
Conclusions:	Processes the results-what was revealed what did it mean including explaining errors.	Lacks clear understanding in conclusions	☐ Incorrect or missing conclusions
Format & Style (overall)	Includes all of the following:	Minor problems:	Major Problems: in multiple categories
Format:	☐ includes headers (title, name, lab partner, double spaced, approx. 2/3 page)	☐ Incomplete header Improper sequence or format	 Missing sections, abstract runs well over a page
Style:	 Concise, crisp and complete; Uses technically appropriate language; proper grammar & spelling 	 minor grammar/spelling mistakes, verbose 	Generally sloppy work

Our benchmark of performance is that by the end of the semester 60% of our students reach the "accomplished" level and 90% "satisfactory". The most recent evaluation was done in 2010 with the results listed below:



Lab Abstract Content

We met our goals of 90% satisfactory but not the 60% accomplished goal. One note, this was done in the first semester lab. Going forward we will do the analysis in the second semester lab as our majors do both and would presumably continue to improve.

A second skills measurement is done by analyzing the papers students write for our senior seminar. Again there is a rubric for this listed below:

	Below Basic	Basic	Proficient	Exemplary
Depth of	Contains	Accurately	Describes	□Shows thorough
Understanding	mistakes of	covers concepts on	nuances of the	understanding
of Physical Principles	misunderstands	popular audience	applications	sources. Provides
1 metpres	concepts	but nothing beyond		nfo beyond the professor's knowledge

Integration of	☐ Makes little	Shows	Demonstrates	Demonstrates
Various	effort to draw in	awareness of the	how the various	the development of
Branches of	the different	how at least a	branches relate to	the field from the
Dranches of Dhysics	branches of	couple of different	the topic	various sub-areas
Physics	physics to the topic	areas come into		
		play		
Mechanics of	Poorly written	Occasional	Gew, if any,	UVriting shows
writing	with numerous	mistakes, writing	mistakes. Writing	an elegance of
(Grammar	mechanical	is readable but	is fairly clear and	wording that draws
(Orannar,	mistakes and	doesn't flow very	straightforward	the reader along.
etc.)	problems of	well	-	Enjoyable to read
	grammar			
Clarity of	□Not clear the	There are basic	Explanations are	Explanations are
Explanation	writer understands	explanations but	understandable to a	clear and creative
F	the topic.	do little to address	reasonable reader.	allowing the reader
		obvious questions	They anticipate	to have a good
			questions and	understanding on a
			answer them	first read
Overall	Most categories	Categories	All areas at least	All areas at least
Ouality	rated as below	range from below	basic with most in	proficient.
	basic.	basic to proficient.	the proficient	The paper in
	Clearly not	Uvriter clearly	range.	enjoyable to read
	much time and	gained knowledge	The knowledge	and brings new
	effort put into the	in writing the	gained by the	knowledge to the
	paper	paper	writer is clearly	reader (even a
		^	expressed in the	physics professor)
			paper	- /

The benchmarks we have set are : 60% reach the top rating, 80% reach the second rating on the paper.

We last assessed this in spring 2011 looking at three years worth of papers. The results are as follows:

Physics Paper Results



Overall we met the benchmarks but just barely.