Minutes

Program Review Committee

October 11, 2018 10:00am – 11:02am Montecito Room

In Attendance:

Jaron Burdick Ken Kihlstrom David Vander Laan

Chris Call Tatiana Nazarenko Tim Wilson

Angela D'Amour Rick Pointer Diane Ziliotto

1. Prayer 10:02

2. Minutes of September 13, 2018 10:03

3. Records 10:05

- Most annual reports have been submitted; some reports are on their way, although the Computer Science report is delayed due to Don Patterson's sabbatical.
- 3. Assessing annual reports: the PRC's response template

10:07

- Reviewed annual report process, highlighting process by which draft reports become final.
- Reviewed online rubrics on LiveText visible through faculty portal.

 Both team members should submit their rubrics, but only one team member should submit the final report.

Program reviews and site visits: team worksheets and their purpose.

- Team Worksheets: Review teams will receive a worksheet in advance of the forthcoming conference call. The worksheet is a working, internal document of the committee and not a public document. The team worksheet will inform goals of external review.
- In answering whether we are evaluating the department or the report, it is the
 department's responsibility to showcase their success. A reviewer can help point out
 things that are not adequately addressed in the department's report, but the report itself.
 as the written record of the process, is ultimately the thing by which the department will
 be reviewed.
- External Reviewers should submit their reports only to the PRC, not to members of the
 department under review. Their report will not be given directly to the department, but
 will be combined in a larger report from the PRC. The external reviewer can expect to
 receive a draft copy of the final report from the PRC for comment prior to the report
 being submitted to the department.

4. Adjourned 11:02

Respectfully submitted,

Jaron Burdick